## Filed 12/1/99 by Clerk of Supreme Court IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

	1999 ND 210	
City of Valley City,		Plaintiff and Appellee
v.		
Ronald Eugene Stuart,		Defendant and Appellan
	No. 990168	
Appeal from the Disthe Honorable Richard W.	strict Court of Barnes County Grosz, Judge.	, Southeast Judicial District
AFFIRMED.		
Per Curiam.		
Ronald Eugene Stua	art, pro se, 746 East Main, Va	lley City, N.D. 58072-3435

Bradley Allen Cruff, Assistant City Attorney, P.O. Box 330, Valley City, N.D. 58072-0330, plaintiff and appellee; submitted on brief.

## City of Valley City v. Stuart No. 990168

## Per Curiam.

- $\lceil \P 1 \rceil$ Ronald E. Stuart appeals from a conviction for driving without a license in violation of Revised Valley City Ordinance § 14-9.2. Stuart argues the trial court erroneously refused to dismiss the charges against him based on his constitutional right to operate a motor vehicle on the roads of North Dakota without a valid driver's license. We have previously addressed and rejected this identical argument. City of Bismarck v. Stuart, 546 N.W.2d 366 (N.D. 1996); State v. Stuart, 544 N.W.2d 158 (N.D. 1996); State v. Kouba, 319 N.W.2d 161, 163 (N.D. 1982). Stuart also argues four errors that occurred during the transcription of the trial denied him due process. The alleged errors were minor and immaterial to the disposition of this appeal. We summarily affirm the trial court's judgment under Rule 35.1 (a)(7), N.D.R.App.P. Applying Rule 13, N.D.R.App.P., we impose a sanction of \$150.00 against [¶2] Stuart for including materials in the appendix of his brief that were not in the trial court record, a violation of Rule 30(a), N.D.R.App.P. See Community National Bank of Grand Forks v. Husain, et al., 1999 ND 201, ¶¶ 13-14.
- [¶3] Gerald W. VandeWalle, C.J. William A. Neumann Carol Ronning Kapsner Dale V. Sandstrom Mary Muehlen Maring